

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/045,553	01/11/2002	Scott B. Kokones	P-10289.00	1834
27581	7590 10/24/2003		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC.			MACHUGA, JOSEPH S	
710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE MS-LC340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55432-5604		3762	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	
•				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Νκ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/045,553	KOKONES ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· ·	Joseph S. Machuga					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, ly within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX (e, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	his action is non-final	.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	ent.				
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)⊠ objected t	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been receive	ed in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •		-			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ptice of Informal Patent Application (PT ther:				

Application/Control Number: 10/045,553

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not clear from the disclosure how the style handle grips and releases the lead body. Clarification is needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duysens et al (#6104960) in view of Borkan (#6510347) and either Lauro (#6154678), Intlekofer et al (#4858810) or Lynch et al (#5448993.)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/045,553 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

5. Duysen et al disclose a neurological implant system for locating an electrode in a patient adjacent the spinal column. The device includes as illustrated in Figure 7 an electrode, stylet wire and a handle 170 for gripping the electrode and wire. Not disclosed by this reference are the specifics of the electrode and the handle claimed.

- 6. Borkan discloses a spinal cord stimulating lead having electrodes (34, 36), electrical connector (39), an internal conductor joining those two elements, and a stylet wire. In some embodiments the electrode is closed and would form a stop for the wire. This design of an electrode helps conserve power.
- 7. Lauro, Intlekofer et al and lynch et al all disclose handles for leads and guide wires. The handles are all designed to both engage and release the wire or electrode.
- 8. Given these references, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an electrode of the type disclosed by Borkan in place of the electrode in Duyden et al device to provide a more efficient system. To use a feed of the type disclosed by either Lauro, Ittlekofer et al or lynch et al in place of that disclosed by Duysen et al would have also been obvious given that it provides better control of the elements. Also, while the Borkan reference does not explicitly state that the internal conductor is insulated it is considered obvious that it is since in some embodiments fluid is introduced into the lumen. Finally, to insert the stylet into the lead/lumen before

Application/Control Number: 10/045,553

Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

placing it in the holder is considered one of two possible and obvious methods of use.

The other being inserting the stylet into the lead/lumen after it's in the holder.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Machuga whose telephone number is 703-

305-6184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela D Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

Joseph S. Machuga

Engel, D. &

Examiner

Art Unit 3762

ANGELA D. SYKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700